

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

<p>J.M., a minor by and through MARTIN M., as Guardian Ad Litem; MARTIN M. and ADELA M., individually,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>CITY OF KING CITY, et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>	<p>Case No.: 12-CV-01951-LHK</p> <p>ORDER SEALING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND ORDERING RE-FILING OF REDACTED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT</p> <p>(re: dkt. #11)</p>
--	--	---

On May 9, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint¹ in which they disclose, for the first time, minor Plaintiff J.M.’s full name and birth date. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(a), parties may include in any filing with the Court only a minor’s initials and only the year of the individual’s birth. Accordingly, to protect the interests of the minor J.M., the Clerk shall seal ECF No. 11. By September 10, 2012, Plaintiffs shall re-file properly redacted versions of their previously filed Second Amended Complaint. The parties must ensure that the minor’s name and date of birth, as well as the parents’ last name, have been redacted in all publicly filed documents. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a).


¹ On the docket, Plaintiffs’ SAC is labeled simply “Amended Complaint,” and in the document itself, the pleading is titled “Third Amended Complaint.” The Court deems the operative pleading the Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”), and refers to it as such.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 6, 2012



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge